

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **United States District Court**  
9 **Central District of California**  
10 **Western Division**  
11

12 MARIA GARCIA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 UNION OIL COMPANY OF  
16 CALIFORNIA, *et al.*,

17 Defendants.  
18

CV 23-09051 TJH

**Order to Show Cause**

19 The Complaint filed in this case alleged a claim for injunctive relief for an  
20 alleged violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12010-12213  
21 ["ADA"], and a claim for damages pursuant to California's Unruh Civil Rights Act,  
22 Cal. Civ. Code §§ 51-53 ["Unruh Act"]. It appears that the Court possesses only  
23 supplemental jurisdiction over the Unruh Act claim and any other state law claim that  
24 Plaintiff may have alleged, if any. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

25 Section 1367 "reflects the understanding that, when deciding whether to exercise  
26 supplemental jurisdiction, 'a federal court should consider and weigh in each case, and  
27 at every stage of the litigation, the values of judicial economy, convenience, fairness,  
28 and comity.'" *City of Chicago v. Int'l Coll. of Surgeons*, 522 U.S. 156, 173 (1997)

1 (emphasis added) (quoting *Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. Cohill*, 484 U.S. 343, 350  
2 (1988)).


3 Therefore, Plaintiff shall show cause, in writing, by **February 5, 2024**, as to why  
4 the Court should exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Unruh Act claim and any  
5 other state law claims Plaintiff may have alleged, if any. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c).

6 In responding to this Order to Show Cause, Plaintiff shall identify the amount of  
7 statutory damages Plaintiff seeks to recover. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel shall,  
8 also, support their responses to this Order to Show Cause with declarations, signed  
9 under penalty of perjury, providing all facts necessary for the Court to determine if  
10 they satisfy the definition of a "high-frequency litigant," as provided by California Civil  
11 Procedure Code §§ 425.55(b)(1) & (2).

12 Failure to timely or adequately respond to this Order to Show Cause may,  
13 without further warning, result in the dismissal, without prejudice, of this entire case,  
14 or the Court declining to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Unruh Act and the  
15 other state law claims, if any, and the dismissal of the state law claims pursuant to 28  
16 U.S.C. § 1367(c).

17  
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19  
20 Date: January 3, 2024

21   
22 Terry J. Hatter, Jr.  
23 Senior United States District Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28